



cpatter@rmi.net on 07/13/99 04:39:28 PM

To: Bonita Lavelle/EPR/R8/USEPA/US@EPA
cc:
Subject Fw: VB/I70 sampling plan
:

> From: Chuck Patterson <cpatter@rmi.net>
> To: Lavelle.Bonita@epamail.epa.gov
> Subject: Re: VB/I70 sampling plan
> Date: Monday, July 12, 1999 1:39 PM
>
> Hi Bonnie,
> I've looked over the draft Phase III plan and basically have no
comments
> directly about the text and appendices. However, I do have two concerns
> which we can either discuss privately or in the context of the working
> group, as you choose.
>
> First, I am curious about how South Globeville will fit into phase three,
> having already been sampled for the State. This parallels Lorraine's
> concern that the sampling density was lower in previous efforts, and may
> increase the possibility of false results, either low or high. Am I
right
> in thinking that the chances of a false high are more likely than a false
> low?
>
> Secondly, and this is really hypothetical at this point, what if the
action
> levels turn out to be different than those chosen for the South
Globeville
> cleanup? Will you go for the lower action level, whether it's EPA's or
the
> State's?
>
> The C. de Baca case should go to court today, unless they settled over
the
> weekend, I see further complexities looming there.
>
> Hope all is well, Cheers,
> Chuck Patterson